



LEDBURY TOWN COUNCIL

TOWN COUNCIL OFFICES . CHURCH STREET . LEDBURY

HEREFORDSHIRE HR8 1DH - Tel. (01531) 632306

e-mail: clerk@ledburytowncouncil.gov.uk

website: www.ledburytowncouncil.gov.uk

5 November 2020

TO: Councillors Bannister (Chairman), Eakin and Howells
Non-Councillors: Mr B Pringle and Mr C Howard

Dear Councillor

You are invited attend a meeting of the **Traffic Management Working Party** which will be held via Zoom on **Tuesday, 10 November 2020 at 4.00 pm** for the purposes of transacting the business set out below.

To join the meeting please use either the link below or the meeting ID and Password.

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/84045334276?pwd=VFdnTk5OeDFsQ2RrZk5Vc0J6NHA1dz09>

Meeting ID: 840 4533 4276

Passcode: 587643

Yours faithfully

Angie Price

Town Clerk

A G E N D A

1. **Apologies for absence**
2. **Declarations of Interest (Councillors only)**
3. **Minutes of a meeting of the Traffic Management Working Party held on 15 September 2020**
(Pages 410-412)
4. **Mabels Furlong & Parkway – Submissions and Survey update**
(Pages 413-418)

5. **Update on Speed Indicator Devices (SID's) (Verbal Udate)**
7. **Impact of development and proposed development of Traffic Management (Standing item)**
8. **Date of next meeting**

Distribution: **Copies of full agenda papers to Working Party Members (3)**
 Copies of agenda front pages to all other Councillors (7)
 File Copy (1)

NOTES OF A MEETING OF THE TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT WORKING PARTY
MEETING HELD ON 15 SEPTEMBER 2020

PRESENT: Councillors Bannister (Chair), Eakin and Howells

ALSO PRESENT: Angie Price – Town Clerk, Graham Hornsby – Balfour Beatty

41. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

No apologies had been received

42. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

No declarations of interest were made

43. TERMS OF REFERENCE

Members were provided with a copy of the Terms of Reference.

RESOLVED:

- 1. That the Terms of Reference be received and noted.**

44. NOTES OF A MEETING OF THE TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT WORKING PARTY HELD ON 18 AUGUST 2020

RESOLVED:

- 1. That the notes of the meeting of the Traffic Management Working Party held on 18 August 2020 be received as a correct record.**

45. DISUCSSION WITH GRAHAM HORNSBY

Graham Hornsby introduced himself to the meeitng and advised that he joined Herefordshire Council in 2003 and then transferred to Balfour Beatty. He advised that traffic regulations orders can fall into three categories: true traffic regulation order, speed limit orders or temporary traffic regulation orders. He explained that it would take around 6- 9 months to introduce a traffic regulation order and that there is a currently a waiting list with 112 requests, 7 being from Ledbury Town Council.

It was also noted that there is a dft document named Traffic Signs, Regulations and Directions which indicated precisely what signs and road markings Balfour Beatty are permitted to erect on the highway.

Councillor Bannister asked whether there was a difference in cost for self-funding a traffic management order. Graham Hornby advised that there would be little difference in cost and that the scheme would be transferred immediately to a consultancy company in Bristol called ADL. The costing for a legal procedure would cost around £8,000 - £10,000. However, it would be more cost effective to apply for more than one traffic regulation order if the council were to go down the self-funding route, noting that they would need to be of a similar request, an example would be extending double yellow lines.

Councillor Howells joined the meeting at 5:10pm

Mark Hadley, the author of the public realm, has recently implemented an extensive prohibition on HGV vehicles in Leominster which involved a traffic regulation order. Graham suggested that the town Council contact Mark Hadley as there could be an option for the council to avoid costs and the waiting list through a town plan.

RESOLVED:

1. That the update from Graham Hornsby be received and noted.

46. **ITEM TO BE CONSIDERED WITH FUTURE TRO REQUEST**

The Town Clerk reminded members that there was a discussion regarding creating a spreadsheet of all the future TRO requests and traffic enquiries.

Councillor Howells noted that he already had created a list and that he would send this to the Town Clerk.

RESOLVED:

1. That members make arrangements for a list of traffic management orders and traffic enquiries.
2. That Councillor Howells send the list of current traffic management orders to the Town Clerk.

47. **MABELS FURLONG & PARKWAY**

Councillor Bannister noted that a traffic regulation order for parking at Mabels Furlong was already on the waiting list at Balfour Beatty. He suggested contacting residents to update them on the meeting with Graham Hornsby.

RESOLVED:

1. That the update on Mabels Furlong and Parkway be received and noted.

48. SPEED INDICATORS

Members were provided with data from the outcome of the two speed indicators requested by the Council. Councillor Bannister advised that the Council are now able to apply for two speed indicator devices.

Members agreed that the Town Clerk contact the Parkway resident and update them on the meeting with Graham Hornsby in relation to the traffic regulation order that is currently on the waiting list with Balfour Beatty.

RESOLVED:

- 1. That the update on speed indicators be received and noted.**
- 2. That the Town Clerk reply to the correspondence received for the resident at Parkway, Ledbury.**

49. PARKING ON MASEFIELD ROAD

It was confirmed by Graham Hornsby that the traffic order regulation was currently on the waiting list for residents parking.

RESOLVED:

- 1. That the update on parking at Masefield Road be received and noted.**

50. IMPACT OF DEVELOPMENT AND PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT ON TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT (STANDING ITEM)

An update on the appeal was received and noted from the Chairman.

51. DATE OF NEXT MEETING

It was agreed that the date of the next meeting would be held on 10 November at 4:00pm

The meeting ended at 17:30 pm.

EJHarvey@LedburyTownCouncil.gov.uk
EJHarvey@Herefordshire.gov.uk

LTC TMWP - House no anonymised **Mabels Furlong** and area residents parking survey March 2019

Road and no: MF = 14 BA = 13 WD = 8 TOTAL = 35	House number (Anonymised version)	Survey number	Do you think there is a parking problem in and around these three roads?	If yes - reason	Do you want a resident parking Scheme?	Do you have any ideas on how to manage parking and access for the 3 roads?	Do you think an 'Access Only' or 'Residents Only' sign at the entrance of MF would be sufficient to address the parking problem?	Would you be in favour of some sort of protected parking / permit parking?	What would you suggest we do with the grass verges such as along MF and at the turning into BA?	Do you have any thoughts on if and when double yellow lines or single yellow lines should be painted?	Do you have any issues with Drop Kerb parking?	How many vehicles are there in your household that regularly park in the area?	Do you anticipate increasing or decreasing the number of vehicles in the future	Would you be prepared to pay for CCTV coverage to monitor access?	Would you be prepared to pay monthly/early/one off payment?	Any other comments?
MF		M1	Yes	Cars are parked anywhere.	-	-	No	Yes	Should be protected	-	No		1 Increasing	See later	-	What would you do with information on CCTV?
MF		M2	Yes	Town visitors / Workers	Yes	-	Yes	Not really	Leave it be	Beginning of MF	No		2 decreasing	No		I'm happy for a parking scheme - I've got driveway so doesn't affect me We are tired of people parking here and going down to town. Residents are struggling to park their own cars as well as care assistants or even ambulances always have to park in the middle of the road
MF		M3	Yes	People park for hours for shopping or work instead of using car parks also school use it for parking	-	Access only	Access only - Yes - if not give parking fines	Not really	-	1. On entering MF. 2. Outside elec shed	No		1 No			
MF		M4	Yes	Access in and out of MF is hazardous due to the many vehicles parked in and around the access. School time is worst.	Not willing to pay to park, pay enough in taxes	1. Difficult as there are too many vehicles on the road and we live by the school and close to town and the houses along MF were built with no parking. 2. People who are not residents need to avoid parking around the area and be more considerate to residents BUT also residents need to be more considerate to each other and reduce the number of cars and park more considerately	Yes - definitely worth a go at least it backs us up when we tell non residents not to park on these roads We want non residents to be more considerate and think about not parking as it causes inconvenience. It's frustrating when you have shopping and a little one.	Not willing to pay, already pay enough in taxes.	Some of the grass verges could be turned into extra parking for RESIDENTS and VISITORS	Yes - in hazardous areas	Not sure what the question is asking but if someone was to put a drive and paid the Council to drop the kerb then no-one should park next to it blocking access unless that resident gives permission. But this restricts off road parking which isn't a good thing for other residents and visitors.		2 No	-	-	
MF		M5	Yes	None resident parking	Yes	No	No	Yes	Remove it	No	No		1 No	Yes	No	
MF		M6	Yes	People in and around Ledbury who work, using the road as a free car park and parents dropping and collecting children from school blocking both ends of the road. Plus car parking on corner of entrance from main road. Accident waiting to happen.	Not willing to pay to park, pay enough in taxes		yes	No	It would be a shame to lose all the grass but it would help the parking problems if the road was widened, perhaps to the same width as existing layby.	1. Main school entrance 2. Southend and Gloucester Road entrance	Always understood that drop kerb meant no parking in front of entrance		1 No	No		
MF		M7	yes	People park in the roads all day while they go to work so when residents come back from school runs or shopping there is nowhere for them to park and nowhere for parents picking up children to park, forcing double parking at school at pick up time.	No - don't think this would work because of the school and a lot of elderly residents who have carers and nurse visits	1. Access only sign 2. Traffic warden patrolling the road.	I think access only sign would help then only people who have business in the road need to come down as it's a no through road. Would make people think twice about parking down here.	No	I think the areas should be dug up and replaced with gravel	1. Double yellow lines at the entrance to MF on both sides.	No		2 No	No	-	The road is a danger at school times because there is nowhere to park so people pull up at the junction on the grass forcing children to walk in the road.
MF		M8	Yes	People parking all day going to work in town, unable to park at all	-	1. Take part of the grass away and make allocated parking for residents but also keep as access only.	Access only - we are no through road	No	Make the bottom of Bray Avenue wider, take out completely.	On entrance into MF from the main road.	No		1 - disability badge holder	No	No	This needs to be addressed urgently for us residents as an accident will happen.
MF		M9	Yes	People leaving their cars here and going into town. People dropping kids at school, parents waiting to collect kids after school.	Yes as long as there is no cost	1. No parking - only for residents. 2. No waiting 3. Extra parking spaces	No - as people ignore it	No as we pay enough to the Council	arking	Double lines from main road onto BA up to the turn	Yes as people park in front of our off road parking we think people will ignore it, as happens now.		2 Inc. Our son will have a car in the future	No		
MF		M10	Yes	Very congested	No	School should ask parents not to park down MF when collecting	Access Only	No			No		2	No		
MF		M11	Yes	Parking in our area is nothing short of horrendous. Very rarely can we park outside our OWN HOMES!!!		Take out grass verges and keep roads access only	It would be a good start	No - I'm a pensioner	Remove grass on BA/WD corner	Off main road - corner into MF	No		1 No	No		I should not have to pay for anything involving parking.
MF		M12	Yes	Parking at the entrance of MF can pose a problem for school and emergency vehicles. People living and working in the Southend and people from JMHS use MF as a car park, stopping residents from parking.	No	1. Access only signs at entrance of MF 2. Parking restrictions from Southend to entrance of MF and entrance of JMHS	Yes - Access only	No	All missing concrete posts along verge in MF should be replaced with concrete or metal posts to stop parking on verges. Should widen entrance to BA to allow better access re replacing missing posts with concrete or metal posts to stop parking	1. Double yellow lines should be painted on left hand side as you enter MF from the Southend	Yes - we have a drop kerb and occasionally we have had people park in front of it		3 No	No		I really do think that a solution to the parking at the entrance of MF should be found as soon as possible because it's an accident waiting to happen.
MF		M13	Yes	There is a lot of cars parking from the road for the school	No		Yes	No	Leave grass verges as they are		No		1 No	yes	£5	

MF		M14	Yes	There is never space for residents o the homes on the streets	For	1. Resident only signs 2. No waiting / access for non residents (long term)	Yes - it would sort the problem		Keep the verges	At the entrance of the turn into MF	No	1 no	Yes	£5 monthly	
BA		B1	Yes	People dropping off kids for school. People with drives being disrespectful to those that done, eg, neighbour moves his work van off his drive into my spot on side of road when we go out so he can fit his 2 cars on his drive	Good idea but whether or not I want one cant really say as I will need more info about changes	Maybe the scool coyuld have a drop and go system in the school grounds after all how long does it take to drop off a child	Maybe but I'm thinking people will just ignore it	Depends on cost but yes good idea	Well they should tarmac them with marked out parking spaces. People park on the grass anyway.	1. On entrance off main road into MF 2. On entrance to BA	Yes - people with dropped kerbs with 2 or more cars should park in front of their dropped kerbs if other people need to par on side of the road	1 No	Yes	Depends how much	There's a large grassed area behind the bungalow in BA, maybe something could be done with that to improve parking.
BA		B2	Yes	Quite often unable to park outside or even near the property		How about allocated and marked bays for each property	Yes - plus additional signs on the entrance to BA and WD	Yes	Post it off to prevent parking	No	No	1 possibly	Yes	£5 monthly	A permit scheme would only be effective if regularly patrolled
BA		B3	Yes	Problems coming home as residents from loer area have parked in our spaces	Yes		Yes	Yes	Widen the entrance	Yellow lines	No	1	No		
BA		B4	Yes	Parking on pavements and near road junctions. People using the roads as an overspill for JMHS parking.	No		Yes, it would help	No	Round off coprner of verge into BA to give better access for HGVs and use rest of space for resident parking	1. At entrance to JMHS off Gloucester Road 2. Entrance to MF and BA	No	0 No	No		
BA		B5	Yes	People parking and walking into town, people picking up from JMHS		BA - remove grassed area and replace with parking spaces	No	Yes if affordable	Remove them, no need for them, including grass verges on BA	Junction of MF and BA	No	2 No	No - Council should pay		
BA		B6	Yes	Many people who do not live around here think it's okay to park by our houses all day leaving us nowhere to park	Yes, we would like a scheme	1. Traffic warden 2. Allocated parking zones for residents and visitors only 3. 2 parking spaces for each house, not including driveway	Yes, numerous signs along this road	Yes	Tarmac and make more car parking spaces	Only directly outside the school, outside where the cars come out of the school and in the school	No	1 Increasing with son driving in future	Yes	Whatever it takes	Non residents should NOT be allowed garages Very little space for emergency vehicles during school peak times People park kouside school entrance instread of school car park
BA		B7				No	No	No			No		No		
BA		B8	Yes	Cars everywhere blocking my drive's dropped kerb	es - would need monitoring	1. Small car park in grass banks 2. Limit how many cars per household on road 3. Provide council properties with private drive each	No	Yes	Turn into small car parks	Turning onto MF from main road cornerner turning into BA	Yes	3 - 2 cars on drive, 1 on road	Yes	No - have own installed	
BA		B9	Yes	People parking in MF and going into town to work/shopping	Yes		Residents only sign is a start but a more permanent solution is needed	Yes	Lorris are always curring the corner into BA and leave the grassed area in a mess removing the grass and tarmacing would make more sense and give more paring spaces	On the bend into MF as this is a blind bend	No	1 No	Yes		
BA		B10	Yes	People park all day going to work in town. School traffic parking of staff and sixth form		1. Give more parking to residents by taking grass areas out but the grass outside my house take this out and widen the road for access up to BA/WD which would stop people parking there and blocking the view when come down from WD/BA to turn to go out	I would hope so but this needs to be monitored	No	Take the grass away and make it easier to turn and allow vision better coming down, which is dangerous when vehicles aer parked on grass	On the entrance off the main rod, this is a blind bend coming into MF and BA	No	2 No	No - pay enough taxes		The Council need to sort this urgently there will be an accident before long on entrance into MF and school junction. School needs to make it clear to parents and pupils - re picking up and drop off blockign our roads!
BA		B11	Yes	Our road are ussed as a public car park during term time	Not sure	None	Yes	No	leave it as it is	On entrance off main road	No	2 nO	No		
BA		B12	Y	Too many vehicles and not enough space	-	-	No	No	Remove grass to create extra parking and in the process create better access to BA	No	No	1 No	No		
BA		B13	Yes	People park all day and go to work			Yes	No	Take out large grass area on corner to BA and widen turning poing, better view coming down BA to go right	On entrance from Southend into MF/BA on left. Blind spot on bend very dangerous	No	1 No			This is a no through road. Shouold be residents only parking.
WD		W1	Yes	Road entry to BA gets very congested. Also initial entry to MF making it difficult to approach the junction onto the main road	No	Cut out grass verge off the entra to BA	Access only	No	Remove	Into BA but allowing residents to be able to park alongside their property. Double yellows on immediate entry to MF	No9	1 No	No		parents dropping off or picking up children make manouvering very difficult at start and end of school day.

WD		W2	Yes	Too many cars parking wherever, upon grass verges, pavements etc, preventing people walking with pushchairs	Good idea	1. 30 Minute wait zones during school pick up, traffic wardens to monitor. 2. Provide more parking facilities, could JMHS be used in the evenings. 3. Remove grass areas that arent used to provide adequate parking for residents	No, people would just ignore this, for example when JMHS closes, parents often park wherever they can	yes, depending upon the cost	Turn these into resident parking spaces, they are being churned up by vehicles due to lack of space to pass cars.	1. On the corner as you turn into MF, very dangerous when cars park there, double yellow lines. 2. Single yellow lines as you turn into BA. Lorries and delivery vehicles struggle to manoeuvre	Yes. Dropped kerbs have been implemented to reduce parking in the road and people have paid their own money to make this happen that will ensure they have access to their property	2	No - own driveway to remove our vehicles from road	No		There is going to be a serious accident soon if nothing is done about the poor parking along MF
WD		W3	Yes	Immediately off the Southend into MF people park there. It is dangerous	Not really	1. Double yellow lines by JMHS inbetween Southend and the start of M, 2. On left hand side as turning into JMHS	Access only would be nice for BA and WD, MF too	No	Remove grass and turn into extra parking for residents only	Double yellow lines between Southend and start of MF	No	2	No	No		Make the process for people wanting to install drop kerb for off road parking easier and cheaper
WD		W4	Yes	With the number of cars parked on the road at all different times of the day/night there is not enough space to safely manoeuvre or let cars past. This is particularly bad at the bottom of BA where it meets MF. It is also very difficult when cars are driving up and down BA to WD as drivers then must reverse up and down a hill which is very dangerous. In WD residents and visitors parking in turning corner make it very difficult and sometimes impossible for me to turn my car around. My drive has been blocked in in the past by visitors parking. Residents are frequently having to turn into my drive and other people's drives. Cars are often parked on grass verges near the entrance off the main road. This is incredibly dangerous and causes issues with visibility when exiting MF. Parking should not be allowed here.	Yes	1. Residents Parking Zone and Access Only to limit the number of visitor cars, parents of children and people walking into town parking and dropping off in the area. 2. Remove all grass verges including at the bottom of BA along BA and at the top of BA where it meets WD which do not serve any purpose and possibly some of the verges along MF. Doing so would drastically improve the parking situation as there would be space for cars to pull in and manoeuvre to let passing traffic flow. It would make the biggest difference in dealing with the issue of there not being enough space for residents and their own cars. It could provide additional space for residents to park as there isn't enough parking space at the moment. 3. Measures put in place to stop vans/cars parking near the main road entrance/exit	It would improve matters by limiting parking of non residents and reduce traffic, however, it would not resolve all the problems	yes, depending upon the cost	Remove them. Also remove verges along BA and at the top of BA where it meets WD so that cars can safely park and pass one another	1. At entrance/exit to main road from MF to prevent cars/vans parking there causing poor visibility. 2. If grass verges are removed create areas where cars can't park and where it is for passing only	No	2	No	Yes	£10 per month	I would be prepared to pay but only if the coverage resulted in action for example fining people. Also only if the road was changed to remove grass verges. Parking permits and CCTV will only reduce the parking/traffic of non residents. There is still an issue with lack of space for parking for residents and all of their cars.
WD		W5	Yes	People leaving cars when they don't live here	No - people ought to be able to park outside their houses	Residents and visitors only sign	Yes	No	Widen the corner. No parking on the grass signs, No parking signs. Residents and visitors at the top of WD		No	1	No	No		Car and caravan parked permanently in WD don't live in WD. People pay a lot of money for garages
WD		W6	Yes	Car parking on the corner by school entrance			No		Always in a bad state, tarmac them	Yellow lines on corner from Southend to BA	No	None	No	No		
WD		W7	Yes	MF seems to be the worst as people park and leave car to go to work		1. Take out grass verges 2. Single yellow lines (no parking after a certain time)	Possibly but would they take notice of it	No just because I'm not able to afford £4,000 just to park my car outside my house	I think they should be taken out and made into parking spaces	MF	No	2	No			
WD		W8	Yes	Nowhere to park outsiders use it to go into town		1. Stop people parking. No permit a fine. 2. Give empty garages to the people who live in those roads	Yes	Yes	Get them taken away	1. At the entrance to MF by the school and on the corner	No	1	No	No		

LTC TMWP Parkway Resident Survey March 2019		
No of resp: 7	Yes	No

Do you think there is too much traffic through the village?	7	
Do you think traffic in the village has increased in the last 10 years?	7	
	Increase	Decrease
Do you think the new housing developments will increase or decrease the traffic?	7	
There will always be a few drivers who break the speed limites but do you feel that too many drivers are breaking the 40mph speed limit?	7	
If you answered yes above:		
Do you think there should be more police ewnforcement of the speed limites	6	
Do you think there should be reminders of the speed limits such as electronic speed indication devices?	7	
Would you like to see the speed limit reduced to 30mph?	6	1
If you answered yes to the above:		
Would you still support a 30mph speed limit if that involved major change such as street lighting?	5	2
Research has shown that drivers are more cautions when they know they are entering a village. Gates have been shown to be effective at reminding drivers of the existence of a village. Would you like to see gates installed at parkway?	7	
If you answered yes to the above :		
Gates have shown to be most effective when they look well cared for, usually with flower planters at their base. Would you be prepared to volunteer your time to plant or water these?	4	1

LTC TMWP Parkway Resident Survey March 2019

It is not only the additoinal housing in Ledbury that will cause us issues with traffic, but also traffic from new housing in Hereford, which will be travelling to the M50. The road is not fit for purpose.
The sight lines are very poor travelling through Parkway from all directions. This adds to the problems with speeding.
It is very dangerous and difficult to cross the road now as a pedestrian, it will be impossible with the additional traffic. We may need a pedestrian crossing or three.
I have to reverse off the highway to access my property by car. Turning to cross the road to reverse onto my drive is very dangerous due to poor visibility near to the Woolpitts corner / turning and also from a bend in the road by the garage.
You cannot see at all to cross the road from the houses opposite the Woolpitts turning you just have to wait and listen. This is not safe
Please visit Parkway and we will show you
If the speed is reduced to 30mph we do not want more housing in Parkway either.
I would like my daughter to walk from John Masefield School but the speed of the traffic is horrendous, especially large lorries.
The Parkway needs to be kept free of overhanging branches and street lighting would be most welcome.
Yes please for 30mph limit, gates and electronic reminders to speeding motorists.
Excellent idea - lighting also. It is very dangerous at night and lighting will help to make motorists aware that they are passing through a residential area.
Could we also receive copies of the Ledbury Focus Magazine this has been complained about before but nothing has happened.
When are we going to see something done about the non-existent path between Parkway and Ledbury? I am sick of having to clean muddy school shoes.
Maintenance of any planting around 'gates' will go hand in hand with a reduction in speed - 30mph limit needed. It feels very dangerous walking along the paths with cars and lorries whistling past. Not sure if I would want to spend time at the side of the road maintaining these until there is substantial reduction in speed generally.
Police speed enforcement point often does not pick up the worst offenders as drivers warn others they are there and they naturally slow down to the bend in the road prior to the positioning of the van.
There needs to be work on the pedestrian 'pathway' from Parkway. Speed restrictions for traffic is essential as pedestrians cross the road frequently as the path is not continuous and narrow. Once the path ends and enters the wooded area it disappears - it is currently a muddy slippery quagmire, with no lighting. In heavy rainfall it is impossible for children walking to JMHS to walk on and they have to walk along the road.
Every year I have to contact Balfour Beatty to report it as overgrown and it can take many weeks for them to clear it. The path needs to be cleared and have a proper surface and lighting as it is unsafe.
We moved to Parkway last year so cannot comment on the increase in traffic, except that the traffic has increased nationally.
Part of the move was to get a darker night sky and even though we are not on the main road we would not welcome street lights. Many 30mph limits exist without street lights.

We would be happy to be part of a team to look after planters provided that they are put in locations that do not put us at risk. The footpaths are not continuous and not maintained.
Getting onto the main road from our properties takes a lot longer due to the volume of traffic coming through Parkway now, that's before the new properties on the bypass cause more people travelling through.
Walking into Ledbury is not so easy trying to cross the road is very dangerous. Drivers are not aware of us or the speed limit.
As we have now seen how effective narrowing of the carriageway has been at the new housing estate roundabout (chicane), we need to change the road layout at the bottom of the dip so that vehicles have to slow down, however as this will never happen, some alternatives are:
1. Remove the 50mph zone as this gives motorists the false impression that this will be the speed limit all through the village.
2. White gates at entry and narrowing road markings as in page 76 of the Highway Code.
3. Electronic speed indicators in both directions.
4. Use road markings at the edge of the road to narrow the effective width of the carriageway, I believe people will drive slower on a narrow lane, as on Page 62 of the Highway Code.
5. For no overtaking areas, change the centre double white lines to add hatched lines between them as in page 64 of the Highway Code.
6. For overtaking areas, add diagonal lines bounded by broken lines to the centre of the road as in page 62 of the Highway Code.
7. Install a full time Speed Camera.
8. Last Resort, Sleeping Policemen midway down each slope to the dip.